

**Report for:** Head of Service for Highways and Parking in consultation with Cabinet Member for Resident Services and Tackling Inequality

**Title:** Disabled Parking Bays Removal Batch DPBR-2025-01

**Report authorised by:** Matt Davies, Parking Policy and Projects Manager

**Report Author/s:** Bethlehem Girma, Senior Engineer Traffic & Parking

**Ward(s) affected:** Alexandra Park, Crouch End, Fotis Green, Harringay, Hermitage & Gardens, Highgate, Hornsey, Noel Park, Northumberland Park, Muswell Hill, St Ann's, Seven Sisters, Stroud Green, Tottenham Central, Tottenham Hale, West Green, Woodside

**Report for Key/  
Non-Key Decision:** Non-Key decision

## **1 Describe the issue under consideration**

- 1.1 To report on the statutory consultation undertaken from 3rd September 2025 to 24th September 2025 on the proposals to remove disabled bays from the streets listed in table 1 of this report.
- 1.2 To approve the recommendations outlined in section 3 of this report.

## **2 Cabinet Member Introduction**

- 2.1 N/A.

## **3 Recommendations**

- 3.1 Head of Service for Highways and Parking is asked to, -
- 3.2 Consider the objections received to the consultation in Appendix 3 attached to this report, and the officer recommendations set out in Table 2 in paragraph 6.11.
- 3.3 Agree that the Council exercise its discretion and not cause a public inquiry to be held for the reasons set out in paragraph 6.14 of this report.
- 3.4 Approve the making of the traffic management orders pursuant to powers in the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 (RTRA 1984), including its publication regarding its making in order to implement the proposed changes at 28 locations detailed in Table 1, which includes the following:
  - Removal of 28 existing disabled parking bays
  - Reinstate the residents parking permit bays where appropriate and where the disabled bays are removed.
- 3.5 Approve the total estimated implementation cost of approximately £7,500, this includes costs for processing traffic orders. This will be funded from the Council's approved Capital Programme within capital scheme 332 – Disabled Parking budget provision.

## **4 Reasons for decisions**

- 4.1 The introduction and removal of dedicated, and general use disabled parking places, as set out in Table 1 Section 6 are in accordance with the Council' Parking Strategy and the Resident Engagement Policy for Parking Schemes.
- 4.2 19 objections were received to 10 out of the 36 proposed changes; a summary of these, and officer recommendations can be found in Table 2 of this report.
- 4.3 Objections received from residents regarding the proposed removal of bays at the following location - 26 Halefield Road, 32 Kerswell Close, 19 Lauradale Road, N2, 112 Manor Road N22, 88/90 Steeds Road N17, 15 Boreham Road N22, 44 Ridge Road N8, and 70 Northumberland Park N17 have been upheld. Residents confirmed that these bays are in use. Therefore, the bays at these locations will remain in place and will not be removed.
- 4.4 The decision to approve the proposals contained within Table 1 section 6.7 will impact on communities living and working in 2 or more wards, however when assessing those impacts officers have concluded that they are not likely to be significant in terms of their effects on those communities. The decision will also not result in significant expenditure being incurred or savings being made nor any virements. The decision to approve the proposal, make the relevant traffic management orders and implement the proposals in Table 1 is not therefore a key decision (article 12.03 of the Constitution).

## **5 Alternative options considered**

- 5.1 An alternative considered was not to undertake the requested removal of the existing disabled bays listed in Table 1.

## **6 Background**

- 6.1 Blue Badge holders in Haringey are entitled to make an application for a dedicated disabled persons' parking bay. Such bays required to be located close to the applicant's home.
- 6.2 A dedicated disabled persons parking bay (for the sole use by one vehicle) may be recommended if the applicant meets the following criteria (including conversion of a standard disabled persons parking bay to a dedicated disabled persons permit parking bay):
  - The applicant must be the holder of a current blue badge issued under the Disabled Persons (Badges for Motor Vehicles) Regulations 2000.
  - The applicant must reside permanently at the address; or be able to nominate another driver who also resides permanently at the same address.
  - The applicant, or the nominated driver, must have a driver's licence that is registered at the address where the bay is to be provided.
  - The applicant must be able to provide written consent (medical consent form) for the Council to access their Blue Badge mobility assessment form and gather evidence to show the applicant has considerable difficulty in walking.
  - The applicant has no access to alternative off-street parking. Applications are unlikely to be approved if the applicant has access to off-street parking areas such as a garage, a driveway or an area of hardstanding within their property. Exceptions may be considered if facilities can be demonstrated as being unsuitable for the use of a disabled person due to the nature of their disability.

- The applicant is in receipt of the higher rate of the Mobility Component of the disability living allowance elements of the Blue Badge Eligibility criteria; or
- Scores 12 points or more under the 'moving around' activity of the mobility component of Personal Independence Payment (PIP); or
- Is in receipt of the higher rate Attendance Allowance (aged 64 and over) or
- Is in receipt of the mobility component of PIP and has obtained 10 points specifically for Descriptor E under the "planning and following journeys" activity on the grounds that they are unable to undertake any journey because it would cause them overwhelming psychological distress; or
- Receives a War Pensioner's Mobility Supplement (WPMS); or
- Has been both awarded a lump sum benefit at tariffs 1-8 of the Armed Forces Compensation Scheme and certified as having an enduring and substantial disability which causes the inability to walk or very considerable difficulty in walking; or
- Can provide written evidence from a professional medical consultant (not a general practitioner) providing reasons why a disabled dedicated parking bay is required.

6.3 A disabled persons parking bay or dedicated disabled persons parking bay may also be recommended for removal:

- If an individual's circumstances change, whereby the applicant no longer meets the criteria listed in Section 6.2.
- The applicant; or the applicant's relatives, friends, or neighbours; advise the Council that a bay is no longer in use or required.

6.4 Occasionally, the Council receive requests to amend existing disabled persons' parking bays or dedicated disabled persons' parking bays where:

- An applicant would like a bay moved closer to their property or facility.

6.5 In all cases, Council officers will conduct an assessment and undertake statutory consultation on proposed changes; the outcome of both helps inform the recommendations.

6.6 Due to the volume of applications the Council receives, undertaking each application on an individual basis would not be cost-effective. To efficiently process requests, applications are assessed and progressed in batches.

6.7 Table 1 below lists 36 locations where changes are proposed, and these have undergone statutory consultation.

Table 1

Reference	Location	Description	Reason for proposal and operation hours	Changes to waiting/loading restrictions required? (Y/N)
(1)	148 Roslyn Road, N15 5JJ	Remove existing standard disabled parking bay and reinstate resident permit holders bay	Residents reported the Disabled Parking Bay no longer required	N
(2)	123 Hewitt Road, N8 0BP	Remove existing standard disabled parking bay and reinstate resident permit holders bay	Residents reported the Disabled Parking Bay no longer required	N
(3)	89/ 91 Steeds Road, N10 1JB	Remove existing standard disabled parking bay	Residents reported the Disabled Parking Bay no longer required	N
(4)	26 Briston Grove, N8 9EX	Remove existing standard disabled parking bay and reinstate resident permit holders bay	Residents reported the Disabled Parking Bay no longer required	N
(5)	16 Kimberley Road, N17 9BD	Remove existing standard disabled parking bay and reinstate resident permit holders bay	Residents reported the Disabled Parking Bay no longer required	N

Reference	Location	Description	Reason for proposal and operation hours	Changes to waiting/loading restrictions required? (Y/N)
(6)	44 Farrer Road, N8 8LB	Remove existing standard disabled parking bay	Residents reported the Disabled Parking Bay no longer required	N
(7)	68 Falkland Road, N8 0NP	Remove existing standard disabled parking bay and reinstate resident permit holders bay	Residents reported the Disabled Parking Bay no longer required	N
(8)	17 Pemberton Road, N4 1AX	Remove existing standard disabled parking bay and reinstate resident permit holders bay	Residents reported the Disabled Parking Bay no longer required	N
(9)	22 Yeatman Road, N6 4DT	Remove existing standard disabled parking bay and reinstate resident permit holders bay	Residents reported the Disabled Parking Bay no longer required	N
(10)	26 Halefield Road, N17 9XR	Remove existing standard disabled parking bay and reinstate resident permit holders bay	Residents reported the Disabled Parking Bay no longer required	N

Reference	Location	Description	Reason for proposal and operation hours	Changes to waiting/loading restrictions required? (Y/N)
(11)	106 Roseberry Ave, N17 9SB	Remove existing standard disabled parking bay and reinstate resident permit holders bay	Residents reported the Disabled Parking Bay no longer required	N
(12)	100 Sylvan Avenue, N22 5HY	Remove existing standard disabled parking bay and reinstate resident permit holders bay	Residents reported the Disabled Parking Bay no longer required	N
(13)	61/63 Sperling Road, N17 6UJ	Remove existing standard disabled parking bay and reinstate resident permit holders bay	Residents reported the Disabled Parking Bay no longer required	N
(14)	88/90 Steeds Road, N10 1JD	Remove existing standard disabled parking bay	Residents reported the Disabled Parking Bay no longer required	N
(15)	155 Mount View Road, N4 4JT	Remove existing standard disabled parking bay and reinstate resident permit holders bay	Residents reported the Disabled Parking Bay no longer required	N
(16)	11 Barnard Hill, N10 2HB	Remove existing standard disabled parking bay	Residents reported the Disabled Parking	N

Reference	Location	Description	Reason for proposal and operation hours	Changes to waiting/loading restrictions required? (Y/N)
			Bay no longer required	
(17)	110/112 Manor Road, N17 OJE	Remove existing designated disabled parking bay and reinstate resident permit holders bay	Residents reported the Disabled Parking Bay no longer required	N
(18)	28 Moselle Avenue, N22 6ES	Remove existing standard disabled parking bay and reinstate resident permit holders bay	Residents reported the Disabled Parking Bay no longer required	N
(19)	opp. No25/27 4 Queen Street, N17 8HW	Remove existing standard disabled parking bay and reinstate resident permit holders bay	Residents reported the Disabled Parking Bay no longer required	N
(20)	195 Mount Pleasant Road, N17 6JH	Remove existing standard disabled parking bay and reinstate permit holders bay	Residents reported the Disabled Parking Bay no longer required	N
(21)	13 Muswell Road, N10	Remove existing Dedicated disabled parking bays	Residents reported the Disabled Parking Bay no longer required	N

Reference	Location	Description	Reason for proposal and operation hours	Changes to waiting/loading restrictions required? (Y/N)
(22)	113 Maurice Avenue, N22 6PU	Remove existing standard disabled parking bay and reinstate resident permit holders bay	Residents reported the Disabled Parking Bay no longer required	N
(23)	11/13 Woodland Rise, N10 3UP	Remove existing Dedicated disabled parking bays	Residents reported the Disabled Parking Bay no longer required	N
(24)	29 Devonshire Road, N17 7ND	Remove existing Dedicated disabled parking bays and reinstate resident permit holders bay	Residents reported the Disabled Parking Bay no longer required	N
(25)	70 Northumberland Park, N17 0TT	Remove existing standard disabled parking bay and reinstate resident permit holders bay	Residents reported the Disabled Parking Bay no longer required	N
(26)	41 Chesterfield Gardens, N41LJ	Remove existing standard disabled parking bay and reinstate resident permit holders bay	Residents reported the Disabled Parking Bay no longer required	N



Reference	Location	Description	Reason for proposal and operation hours	Changes to waiting/loading restrictions required? (Y/N)
(27)	31 Boyton Road, N8 7AE	Remove existing standard disabled parking bay and reinstate resident permit holders bay	Residents reported the Disabled Parking Bay no longer required	N
(28)	95 Alexandra Park Road, N10 2DP	Remove existing standard disabled parking bay	Residents reported the Disabled Parking Bay no longer required	N
(29)	44 Ridge Road, N8 9LH	Remove existing standard disabled parking bay and reinstate resident permit holders bay	Residents reported the Disabled Parking Bay no longer required	N
(30)	19 Lauradale Road, N2 9LT	Remove existing standard disabled parking bay and reinstate resident permit holders bay	Residents reported the Disabled Parking Bay no longer required	N
(31)	18 South Grove, N15 5QD	Remove existing standard disabled parking bay and reinstate resident permit holders bay	Residents reported the Disabled Parking Bay no longer required	N
(32)	4-6 South Grove, N15 5QD	Remove existing standard disabled parking bay and reinstate resident	Residents reported the Disabled Parking Bay no longer	N

Reference	Location	Description	Reason for proposal and operation hours	Changes to waiting/loading restrictions required? (Y/N)
		permit holders bay	required disabled parking bay	
(33)	128 Ferme Park Road, N8 9SD	Remove existing dedicated disabled parking bay and reinstate resident permit holders bay	Residents reported the Disabled Parking Bay no longer required	N
(34)	15 Boreham Road, N22 6SL	Remove existing dedicated disabled parking bay and reinstate resident permit holders bay	Residents reported the Disabled Parking Bay no longer required	N
(35)	21 Ribblesdale Road, N8 7EP	Remove existing standard disabled parking bay and reinstate resident permit holders bay	Residents reported the Disabled Parking Bay no longer required	N
(36)	32 Kerswell Close, N15 5QD	Remove existing Dedicated disabled parking bay and reinstate resident permit holders bay	Residents reported the Disabled Parking Bay no longer required	N

### Statutory Consultation

6.8 Statutory notification commenced on 3rd September 2025 for a period of 21 days. The process consisted of Notice of Proposals being published in the London Gazette, Enfield

and Haringey Independent and street notices being placed in each of the affected streets. The closing date for representations and comments was 24th September 2025

- 6.9 In addition to the above, letters informing of the proposed changes were hand delivered to all properties in proximity to the proposed changes. **Appendix 1** contains copies of the letters delivered to affected frontages.
- 6.10 See **Appendix 2** for a copy of the statutory 'notice of proposal' advertised. As part of the statutory process, the views of the following statutory bodies were also sought, some as required by the Local Authorities' Traffic Orders (Procedure) (England and Wales) Regulations 1996 ("LATOR"):
- AA
  - London Transport
  - Police (local)
  - Fire Brigade
  - London Ambulance Service
  - Freight Transport Association
  - Road Haulage Association
  - RAC
  - Metropolitan Police (traffic)
  - London Travel Watch
  - Haringey Cycling Campaign

#### Responses to Statutory Consultation

- 6.11 The legal requirement of statutory consultation was followed by the instalment of street notices and newspaper adverts to notify the public of the proposals and statutory consultation and how they could object should they wish to. A total of 19 objections were received for 10 of the proposals in Table 1. The objections received to the statutory consultation, along with officer recommendations, can be found in Table 2 below.

**Table 2**

Reference	Objection Theme	Officer Recommendation	Officer Response
Item (17) Table 1 11 Barnard Hill, N10	1 objection - the objector stated that both Disabled bays are necessary.	Officers considered the objection and it has not been upheld.  The council received 9 responses in support of removal of the bay stating that the bay is never used. Officer therefore decided to remove the bay to free up the space for parking for residents in the street.  The council is only removing one of the bays in Barnard Hill, the other bay will remain as is.	The objector has been notified via e-mail that their objection/comments have been recorded and will be considered as part of the reporting process. The outcome of the decision report will be available to share with the objectors once a decision has been taken.

Reference	Objection Theme	Officer Recommendation	Officer Response
Item (10) Table 1 26 Halefield Road, N17 9XR	2 objections - This bay remains essential, as there are registered Blue Badge holder in the street and rely on it for daily access due to my disability.	<p>Officers have reviewed the objections and upheld them.</p> <p>Parking activity is currently taking place at this location, and the bay is essential to support disabled residents who require accessible parking close to their homes.</p> <p>Therefore, the bay will remain in place and will not be removed, as it is actively used by Blue Badge holders on the street.</p>	The objector has been notified via e-mail that their objection/comments have been recorded and will be considered as part of the reporting process. The outcome of the decision report will be available to share with the objectors once a decision has been taken.
Item (4) Table 1 26 Briston Grove, N8	1 objection – There are not enough disabled bays on this road. I find it very difficult to find parking when I’m with my son who is disabled.	<p>Officers have considered the objection, and it has not been upheld.</p> <p>The bay proposed for removal is a designated disabled bay and cannot be used by other Blue Badge holders. The Council will review the street to assess whether a standard disabled bay can be provided as part of the upcoming disabled parking programme.</p>	The objector has been notified via e-mail that their objection/comments have been recorded and will be considered as part of the reporting process. The outcome of the decision report will be available to share with the objectors once a decision has been taken.
Item (18) Table 1 32 Kerswell Close	1 objection – my son has significant and complex medical needs. His mobility is limited. Given the needs and the lack of accessible parking in the area, I strongly object to the proposed removal of the disability bay.	<p>Officers have considered the objection and has upheld it.</p> <p>The resident who objected meet all criteria required for designated disabled park bay. Removing the bay would breach the Council Policy for Disabled Parking Places as the applicant meets the required eligibility criteria</p>	The objector has been notified via e-mail that their objection/comments have been recorded and will be considered as part of the reporting process. The outcome of the decision report will be available to share with the objectors once a decision has been taken.

Reference	Objection Theme	Officer Recommendation	Officer Response
		Therefore, the bay will remain in place and will not be removed.	
Item (31) Table 1 Lauradale Road N10	<p>2 objections – The named user of this disabled bay has sadly passed on. However, his disabled widow continues to live at the property.</p> <p>In addition, we are registered disabled and lives on the south side of Lauradale Road.</p> <p>There are other elderly people with disabilities nearby on the street who uses the bay.</p>	<p>Officers have reviewed the objections and upheld them.</p> <p>Parking activity is currently taking place at this location, and the bay is essential to support disabled residents who require accessible parking close to their homes.</p> <p>Therefore, the bay will remain in place and will not be removed, as it is actively used by Blue Badge holders on the street.</p>	The objector has been notified via e-mail that their objection/comments have been recorded and will be considered as part of the reporting process. The outcome of the decision report will be available to share with the objectors once a decision has been taken.
Item (18) Table 1 110/112 Manor Road N22	2 objections – object to the removal of the bay outside No. 112 as its still in use.	<p>Officers have considered the objections and upheld them.</p> <p>The bay will remain in place and will not be removed. The bay which proposed to be removed is the dedicated bay outside No.110.</p>	The objector has been notified via e-mail that their objection/comments have been recorded and will be considered as part of the reporting process. The outcome of the decision report will be available to share with the objectors once a decision has been taken.
Item (15) Table 1 88/90 Steeds Road N17	2 objections - fully object to removing of the disabled parking spaces on Steeds Road. We have blue badges and sometimes there is no space to park in our street, and we use the disabled bay in our street.	<p>Officers have reviewed the objections and upheld them.</p> <p>Parking activity is currently taking place at this location, and the bay is essential to support disabled residents who require accessible parking close to their homes.</p>	The objector has been notified via e-mail that their objection/comments have been recorded and will be considered as part of the reporting process. The outcome of the decision report will be available to share with the objectors

Reference	Objection Theme	Officer Recommendation	Officer Response
		Therefore, the bay will remain in place and will not be removed, as it is actively used by Blue Badge holders on the street.	once a decision has been taken.
Item (35) Table 1 15 Boreham Road N22	1 objection - a blue badge holder it was a designated parking bay for my mum who passed away but will be using the bay and I am in the process of applying for one.	Officers considered the objection and upheld it.  The bay will remain until the resident completes their application process. If the resident does not meet the criteria for a designated bay, its removal will be reconsidered.	The objector has been notified via e-mail that their objection/comments have been recorded and will be considered as part of the reporting process. The outcome of the decision report will be available to share with the objectors once a decision has been taken.
Item (30) Table 1 44 Ridge Road, N8	1 objection- I wish to object to removal of this disabled parking bay as I now have to use the bay since my heart and hip issues and associated problems that caused me to obtain a disabled blue badge. I understand that this is a shared bay although the previous incumbent at 44 Ridge no longer lives there but it makes sense to keep that one instead of me applying for a new one outside	Officers have reviewed the objection and upheld it.  Parking activity is currently taking place at this location, and the bay is essential to support disabled residents who require accessible parking close to their homes.  Therefore, the bay will remain in place and will not be removed, as it is actively used by Blue Badge holders on the street.	The objector has been notified via e-mail that their objection/comments have been recorded and will be considered as part of the reporting process. The outcome of the decision report will be available to share with the objectors once a decision has been taken.
Item (26) Table 1 70 Northumberland Park N17	1 objection- object strongly to the removal of the disabled persons' parking bay 70 Northumberland Park which is in constant use, in fact fought after. It is rarely free in an area of restricted parking.	Officers have reviewed the objection and upheld it.  Parking activity is currently taking place at this location, and the bay is essential to support disabled residents who require	The objector has been notified via e-mail that their objection/comments have been recorded and will be considered as part of the reporting process. The outcome of the decision report will

Reference	Objection Theme	Officer Recommendation	Officer Response
		<p>accessible parking close to their homes.</p> <p>Therefore, the bay will remain in place and will not be removed, as it is actively used by Blue Badge holders on the street.</p>	<p>be available to share with the objectors once a decision has been taken.</p>

6.12 After considering the comments received to the statutory consultation officers recommend to proceed with the removal of the 28 disabled bays as proposed.

6.13 It is noted that regulation 9 of LATOR provides local authorities with the discretion of whether or not to hold a public inquiry prior to the making of a TMO. This report does not include the recommendation to hold a public inquiry on account of the effect of the order, that the project will contribute towards achieving a number of policy objectives and that holding a public inquiry would lead to expense and delay.

6.14 The council is not required to hold a public inquiry prior to the making of these TMOs due to the exemption set out in Regulation 9 (4)(a) which applies where an order *“authorises the use of part of a road as a parking place, or designates a parking place on a road, for the use of a disabled person's vehicle as defined by section 142(1) of the 1984 Act”*;

6.15 Officers also do not consider that the proposals will impact on peoples’ human rights and their enjoyment of their possession/use of their home.

6.16 By virtue of section 122, the Council must exercise its powers under the RTRA 1984 so as to secure the expeditious, convenient and safe movement of vehicular and other traffic, including pedestrians, and the provision of suitable and adequate parking facilities on and off the highway. These powers must be exercised so far as practicable having regard to the following matters: -

- The desirability of securing and maintaining reasonable access to premises.
- The effect on the amenities of any locality affected including the regulation and restriction of heavy commercial traffic so as to preserve or improve amenities.
- The national air quality strategy.
- Facilitating the passage of public service vehicles and securing the safety and convenience of their passengers.
- Any other matters appearing to the Council to be relevant.

6.17 The proposals are aimed at securing reasonable access to premises and does not hinder the passage of public service vehicles. The above factors are also the factors that officers considered will secure the expeditious movement of traffic on its road network as required under section 16 of the Traffic Management Act 2004.

## 7 Contribution to strategic outcomes

7.1 The introduction and management of disabled parking places align with the Council's Theme 2: Responding to the climate emergency in the Corporate Delivery Plan –2024-

2026. The delivery of this change to disabled parking will ensure that the Council manages parking for local residents on the public highway. This will improve access to disabled parking and provide managed street space.

7.2 The eligibility for the introduction of dedicated disabled parking places is clearly defined by the criteria set out in section 6.2 of this report.

7.3 Section 149 of the Equality Act 2010 (the Public Sector Equality Duty ('PSED')) requires the Council to have due regard to the need to eliminate discrimination, harassment, victimisation, advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic (including people with a disability) and persons who do not share it and foster good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it when deciding whether to approve the proposals in this report. Officers' consideration of the discharge of the PSED in relation to the approval of the proposals in this report are set out in section 11 of this report.

## **8 Carbon and Climate Change**

8.1 Parking controls contribute positively to carbon emission reduction and mitigate climate change in the following ways:

- **Reduced vehicle emissions:** managed parking reduces congestion.
- **Modal change:** Managed parking arrangements can also support modal change. When motorised access is restricted, motorists may choose alternative transportation modes, reducing the number of vehicles on the road and associated emissions. Over time, this can lead to a shift in commuting habits with lasting environmental benefits.

## **9 Comments of the Chief Financial Officer**

9.1 This report seeks approval from the Head of Service for Highways and Parking to implement the following proposed changes at the locations detailed in Table 1:

- Removal of 28 existing disabled parking bays
- Reinstate the residents parking permit bays where appropriate where the disabled bays are removed.

9.2 The cost to implement the proposed changes is estimated to be approximately £7,500 and this includes costs for processing traffic orders. This will be funded from the Council's approved Capital Programme within capital scheme 332 – Disabled Parking budget provision.

9.3 Once implemented the future operation cost will be funded from the existing service revenue budgets.

## **10 Comments of the Director of Legal Services and Governance**

10.1 The Head of Highways and Parking is asked to approve the recommendations at paragraph 3 and to consider the objections received following statutory consultation to the proposals to remove disabled parking bays from the locations listed in Table 1 by way of TMOs made under the RTRA 1984.

10.2 The Head of Highways and Parking is authorised to make this decision under the Council's Constitution (Part 3, Section E) as delegated to them within the Director of Environment & Resident Experience's Scheme of Authorisation.

10.3 The Council's powers to make traffic management orders in respect of disabled parking spaces and regulating vehicular traffic on the highway are contained in sections 6, 45, 46 and 49 of the RTRA 1984.



- 10.4 Officers have considered the Council's statutory duty pursuant to section 122 of the RTRA 1984 at paragraphs 6.16 and 6.17 and recommend that the TMOs be made to remove the 29 disabled parking bays as proposed.
- 10.5 Before deciding whether to implement the proposals in this report, the Council must undertake consultation in accordance with the LATOR. Officers have carried out statutory consultation as set out in paragraphs 6.8 to 6.11. The Head of Highways and Parking must take into account the consultation responses before deciding whether to implement the measures and make the necessary TMOs.

## **11 Equalities Comments**

- 10.1 The Council has a Public Sector Equality Duty under the Equality Act (2010) to have due regard to the need to:
- Eliminate discrimination, harassment and victimisation and any other conduct prohibited under the Act
  - Advance equality of opportunity between people who share those protected characteristics and people who do not.
  - Foster good relations between people who share those characteristics and people who do not
- 10.2 The three parts of the duty applies to the following protected characteristics: age, disability, gender reassignment, pregnancy/maternity, race, religion/faith, sex and sexual orientation. Marriage and civil partnership status apply to the first part of the duty.
- 10.3 The Council must, when carrying out the Council's functions (which includes making decisions), have due regard to the needs set out in section 149 of the Equality Act 2010 (the Public Sector Equality Duty ('PSED')). This duty includes having due regard to the need to advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic (including people with a disability) and persons who do not share it.
- 10.4 S149 (4) of the Equality Act 2010 stresses the public sector equality duty strongly in respect of disabled persons and extends the meaning of 'due regard' to include the steps involved in meeting the needs of disabled persons in particular "steps to take account of disabled person's disabilities".
- 10.5 The Council will explore alternative locations for the potential introduction of standard disabled bays, if required, to mitigate any possible negative impacts on residents.

## 11 Use of Appendices

Appendix 1 – Statutory Consultation notification letters delivered to properties

Appendix 2 – Statutory Consultation Notice of Proposal, advertising the proposals detailed in Table 1 in Section 6

Appendix 3 – List of Responses Received to the consultation

## 12 Background Papers

Haringey Parking Strategy

Parking Schemes – Resident Engagement Policy